

APPLICATION NO.

10/626,910

P.O. Box 179

United States Patent and Trademark Office

FILING DATE

07/25/2003

02/25/2005

7590

Dean P. Edmundson

Burton, TX 77835

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

J. Craig Corbett

421-02

EXAMINER

BUI, THACH H

3752

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2005

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/626,910	CORBETT, J. CRAIG
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thach H Bui	3752
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) downwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 		
Application Papers		•
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	, ,	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received in Rule 17.2(a)).	ntion No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. Applicant's prior art citation filed July 25, 2003 has been received, considered and placed of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Winkler et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,135,668) in view of Prior Art (Fig. 1).

Winkler et al. teach a support system for supporting an object in the ground comprising a spacer body (15) having an outer peripheral portion and an inner peripheral portion (see Fig. 1-5), an attachment means (i.e. finger grip like) (32) (see Fig. 1) for attaching the spacer body to the to an object and an elongated stake member (a tapered stake member) (13, 14) carried by and extending downwardly from the spacer body; wherein the stake member provides lateral support to the spacer body and the object in the ground. The stake member is being inserted into the spacer body via an opening (11) (see Fig.11); therefore, the stake member is detachable from the spacer body. The support system inner and outer portions, joined by spaced vertical ribs (see Fig. 5) of which defines the openings through the spacer body and the outer

Art Unit: 3752

peripheral portions extends outwardly at a predetermined distance so as to enable the object and/or sprinkler head to be positioned adjacent to a sidewalk or curb with a spacing at least equal to the predetermined distance (52s) (see Fig. 5). Winkler et al. further teach a vertical tab member (31) of which limits the extent of upward travel of the spacer body relative to the object and/or sprinkler head. Winkler et al. have all the features of the invention but Winkler et al. failed to teach a supporting system for supporting a sprinkler head. Prior Art (Fig. 1) teaches a sprinkler head. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled artisan in the art to combine the teachings of Winkler et al and Prior Art to have a support system for supporting a sprinkler head in the ground.

Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Adams ('174 and '459) and Weaver are cited of general interest.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thach H Bui whose telephone number is 571-272-4898. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30-4 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on 571-272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

T.B.

12/02/2004

David A. Scherbel

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700